

THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL INDUSTRY & FISHERIES

FARMER REGISTRATION REPORT

NTUNGAMO DISTRICT

May 2020

| CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND1 |
|--|
| 1.0 Introduction1 |
| 1.1 Background1 |
| 1.2 Objectives2 |
| 1.3 Methodology, scope and field organization3 |
| 1.4 Data cleaning and analysis4 |
| CHAPTER TWO: SOCIO ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS5 |
| 2.0 Introduction |
| 2.1 Distribution of Administrative Units registered5 |
| 2.2 Gender disaggregation of Farming households5 |
| 2.3 Disaggregation of Farming households by age of household head6 |
| 2.4 Disaggregation by Farming activity7 |
| 2.5 Main purpose of the farming activity8 |
| CHAPTER THREE: CROP CULTIVATION9 |
| 3.0 Introduction |
| 3.1 Households involved in Crop Production |
| 3.1.1 Cereals |
| 3.1.2 Legumes |
| 3.1.3 Oil seeds |
| 3.1.4 Vegetables |
| 3.1.5 Tree crops |
| 3.1.6 Root crops |
| 3.1.7 Fruits |
| 3.1.8 Plantains |
| 3.1.9 Sugar cane, vanilla and cotton |
| 3.2 Area under crops in acres |
| 3.2.1 Banana food |
| 3.2.2 Sweet potatoes |
| 3.2.3 Beans |
| 3.2.4 Rice |
| 3.2.5 Irish potatoes |
| 3.2.6 Ground nuts |
| CHAPTER FOUR: AQUACULTURE |

| 4.0 Introduction | 23 |
|---|----|
| 4.1 Production Systems | 23 |
| 4.1.1 Fish Ponds | 23 |
| 4.1.2 Fish Tanks and Cages | 24 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: LIVESTOCK REARING | 24 |
| 5.0 Introduction | 24 |
| 5.1 Cattle Keeping | 26 |
| 5.2 Cattle population by breed | 27 |
| 5.3 Goats | 28 |
| 5.4 Goat Population by breed | 29 |
| 5.5 Poultry | 30 |
| 5.6 Poultry Population | 31 |
| 5.7 Other livestock | 32 |
| CHAPTER SIX: APICULTURE FARMING | 34 |
| 6.0 Introduction | 34 |
| 6.1 Type of Beehives | 34 |
| 6.1.1 Local beehive | 34 |
| 6.1.2 Kenya Top Bar Bee hive | 35 |
| 6.1.3 Langstroth Beehive | 35 |
| CHAPTER SEVEN: ADOPTION OF AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY AND SUPPORT SERVICES | 37 |
| 7.1 Adoption of improved technologies | 37 |
| 7.3 Access and source of free/subsidized inputs | 40 |
| 7.4 Reason for non-use of inputs | 41 |
| CHAPTER EIGHT: CHALLENGES, RECOMMENDATIONS, CONCLUSION | 42 |
| 8.1 Challenges | 42 |
| 8.2 Recommendations | 43 |
| 8.3 Planned way forward | 44 |
| 8.4 Conclusion | 44 |
| Annex | 45 |
| Average number of animals | 45 |
| Average number of animals by sex of household head | 45 |
| Number of farmers who received free/subsidized inputs in the last 12 months | 47 |

List of tables

| Table 1: Number of administration units registered | 5 |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Number of farming households by sub-county and sex of household head | 5 |
| Table 3: Number of farming households by Sub County and age of household head | 6 |
| Table 4: Proportion of households practising each farming activity by sub-county | 7 |
| Table 5: Main purpose of the farming activity | 8 |
| Table 6: Proportion of households growing the different crop categories | |
| Table 7: Proportion of households growing cereals by sub-county | 11 |
| Table 8: Proportion of households growing legumes by sub-county | |
| Table 9: Proportion of households growing oil seeds by sub-county | |
| Table 10: Proportion of households growing vegetables | 14 |
| Table 11: Proportion of households growing tree crops by sub-county | |
| Table 12: Proportion of households growing root crops by sub county | |
| Table 13: Proportion of households growing fruits by Sub County | |
| Table 14: Proportion of households growing plantain by Sub County | |
| Table 15: Proportion of households growing Sugar Cane, Vanilla and Cotton by Sub-County | 20 |
| Table 16: Area under banana food | 21 |
| Table 17: Number of fish ponds by sub-county | 23 |
| Table 18: Number of households keeping livestock by sub-county | 25 |
| Table 19: Cattle population by sub-county | 27 |
| Table 20: Goat population by breed | |
| Table 21: Poultry Population by Sub County | |
| Table 22: Other livestock population | |
| Table 23: Local beehives colonised | |
| Table 24: Kenya Top Bar Beehives colonized | |
| Table 25: Langstroth beehives | |
| Table 26: Adoption of improved technologies by sub-county | |
| Table 27: Access to agricultural support services and Farmer Organization development | |
| Table 28: Access and Source of free/subsidized inputs | 40 |
| Table 29: Reason for non-use of Inputs | 41 |

List of figures

| Figure 1: Showing number of households keeping livestock | |
|--|--|
| Figure 2: Showing population of livestock in the district | |
| Figure 3: Proportion of households keeping Cattle | |
| Figure 4: Proportion of households keeping goats by Sub County | |
| Figure 5: Households keeping Poultry by sub-county | |
| Figure 6: Showing Adoption of improved technologies | |

CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND

1.0 Introduction

This report presents findings of the farmer registration pilot exercise that was conducted by the statistics division team of the ministry of agriculture animal industry and fisheries in collaboration with the agriculture cluster development project (ACDP) coordination unit team that provided technical guidance. The first chapter presents the objectives as well as the methodology that forms the basis of presentation of the survey findings, it also presents the scope and field organization as well as a brief on the data cleaning and analysis. The second chapter presents the findings from the activities that were implemented. Chapter three presents the achievements realized to date while chapter four presents the challenges and recommendations for the next phase of implementation.

1.1 Background

Over the years, the agricultural sector has suffered from lack of reliable, accurate and timely data to inform decision making. Agricultural data are required by a broad spectrum of stakeholders including; government; the private sector; the NGOs; academia; the donor community and the wider public including the farmers for a variety of purposes. Although there are many producers of agricultural data in the country, they are not coordinated and the agricultural statistics system remains fragile, vulnerable and not fully developed. This is reflected in the lack of consistent, reliable and data from the several players in the agricultural sector. This prompted government to establish a one stop center for agriculture data in the ministry of agriculture, animal industry and fisheries under the national food and agricultural statistical system (NFASS) project.

The ministry's ASSP 2015/16-2019/20 identifiedd setting up an efficient national food and agricultural statistics system as one of the sector priorities if the sector is to provide timely and accurate data for policy and decision making. The overall goal of the NFASS is to ensure that data related to the agricultural sector is accurate, timely, consistent, disaggregated and accessible so as to facilitate planning, and decision making. The NFASS focuses on; utilizing the data collected optimally so as to reduce the cost of data collection; harmonizing data collection protocols across MDAs and institutional partners; and, establishing a permanent field data collection system. The NFASS is implemented in 3 components namely; the institutional component, the data center which houses the database; and, the routine agriculture administrative data system. The ministry started implementing the NFASS project in FY

2015/16 which was mainly government funded and with the help of USAID-EEA was able to set up a state-of-the-art data center at MAAIF headquarters and also set up a MAAIF data base.

The ministry in collaboration with the world bank started implementing the agriculture cluster development project (ACDP) in 2016 to support activities that will raise both productivity and production of maize, beans, cassava, rice, and coffee in 57 districts clustered into 12 high-potential agricultural areas. The project is implemented through four components namely; (i) support for intensification of on-farm production; (ii) value addition and market access; (iii) policy, regulatory and institutional support; (iv) project coordination and ICT platform.

In 2018, the ACDP project was restructured and added a subcomponent 3.3 to support the implementation of the national food and agricultural statistics system. Under this subcomponent, all administrative data; surveys including systematic surveys of the number and capacity of inputs producers and producer organizations and systematic monitoring and transparent reporting on farmers and efforts to bulk their demand for inputs; sector studies; pest and diseases surveillance data; and any other sector data were to be undertaken.

Implementation of subcomponent 3.3 began in 2019 with all efforts focused on setting up the routine agricultural administrative data system (RAADS) and in order to set up the RAADS, there was need to have a sampling frame from which sample households for data collection will be drawn. The need for the sampling frame together with the national requirement to register farmers prompted the development of the national farmers register which was to be administered to all farming households in the country. After registration of farmers is completed, data collection using the already developed tools can then commence in the districts.

The statistics division with assistance from the ACDP-PCU started piloting the farmer register in 5 pilot districts to draw lessons that can be used in the roll out to the rest of the districts in the country.

1.2 Objectives

The primary purpose of this activity was to register and have a central database in the ministry data centre of all farmers in the country who practice agriculture for planning purposes.

Specifically, the farmers' registration exercise will provide;

- Government with an estimate of the number of farmers in the country engaged in different agricultural enterprises or values chains relevant for planning purpose and making investment decisions;
- 2. A sample frame for the routine data collection
- 3. A detailed understanding of the agricultural technology adoption in Uganda.
- 4. Provision of background information about the farmers (i.e. Name, location, farm size, enterprise involved in, etc.)

1.3 Methodology, scope and field organization

The exercise started with the training of the parish chiefs and extension staff which was conducted in each of the 5 ACDP pilot districts of Iganga, Amuru, Nebbi, Kalungu and Ntungamo. During the training, emphasis was put on articulating instructions regarding interviewing techniques and field procedures and a detailed review of the farmers register. The trainings mainly used English but translations were constantly done to ensure accurate retranslation and adequate understanding in order to assist in application during translation to local languages in the farmer registration exercise.

The training also involved use of visuals and power point presentations. The parish chiefs and extension staff were also taken through an illustration that they used as a field scenario example. At the end of the trainings, the parish chiefs and extension officers were dispersed to their respective parishes/sub counties to start the actual farmer registration. The registration exercise utilised the paper assisted personal interviewer (PAPI) where by the farmers' register books were printed and distributed across parishes.

During the actual data collection, teams consisting of cluster supervisors from the ministry headquarters were routinely dispatched to the field after having been trained in data quality control procedures and field work coordination. They were also provided with a supervisor's manual to assist in carrying out their duties. At district level, supervision was also carried out by the district production & marketing officers and the district agricultural officer (ACDP focal persons)

The procedures for supervision and communications between the statistics division and the interviewing teams during data collection were specified in the manuals and discussed during

training for the farmer registration exercise. Close communication was maintained at all times between the statistics division and ACDP- PCU.

The registration covered all farmers in all villages to enable construction of the sampling frame for use in subsequent routine data collection.

The completed farmer registration books were assembled at the subcounty headquarters and the extension staff entered the data in tabs using the computer assisted personal interviewer (CAPI). The data was subsequently sent to the ministry headquarters for cleaning and analysis

1.4 Data cleaning and analysis

After data collection, the statisticians extracted and merged all data from the different pilot districts and exported to Stata. Cleaning was done to check out for inconsistencies and outliers. Data was analysed using Stata to obtain statistical outputs including frequencies and percentages in tabular and graphical forms. The statistical outputs were later extracted and presented in more acceptable tables ready for interpretation

CHAPTER TWO: SOCIO ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

2.0 Introduction

This chapter presents information on; the distribution of Administrative units registered; distribution of Agriculture households by Sub County; the classification of Agriculture households by sex and age of household heads by Sub County; and type of farming activity involved in and main purpose.

2.1 Distribution of Administrative Units registered

The Pilot exercise was able to list 56,148 farming households from 1,239 Villages, 99 Parishes and 22 Sub Counties in Ntungamo district.

Table 1: Number of administration units registered

| District | Sub-county | Parish | Village |
|----------|------------|--------|---------|
| Ntungamo | 22 | 99 | 1,239 |

2.2 Gender disaggregation of Farming households

Overall 55.4% of farming households were male headed and 44.6% were female headed. All sub counties had a higher proportion of male headed households except for Rwashamaire Town Council, Western Division, Central Division and Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council where there were a higher proportion of female headed households.

| Sub County | Male | Female | Total |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Bwongyera | 1,073 | 985 | 2,058 |
| Ihunga | 983 | 975 | 1,958 |
| Kibatsi | 1,574 | 1,350 | 2,924 |
| Nyabihoko | 1,337 | 993 | 2,330 |
| Rwashamaire Town Council | 369 | 452 | 821 |
| Kagarama Town Council | 672 | 672 | 1,344 |
| Nyamunuka Town Council | 1,665 | 1,577 | 3,242 |
| Central Division | 232 | 253 | 485 |
| Eastern Division | 203 | 183 | 386 |
| Western Division | 174 | 240 | 414 |
| Itojo | 2,005 | 1,097 | 3,102 |
| Kitwe Town Council | 1,144 | 932 | 2,076 |
| Ntungamo | 1,669 | 1,276 | 2,945 |

Table 2: Number of farming households by sub-county and sex of household head

| Kafunjo-Mirama Town C | 837 | 849 | 1,686 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Kafunjo-Mirama Town C Kayonza | 837 1,925 | 849 1,547 | 1,686 3,472 |
| Ngoma | 2,197 | 1,066 | 3,263 |
| Rubaare | 1,573 | 1,448 | 3,021 |
| Rubaare Town Council | 535 | 359 | 894 |
| Rugarama | 2,179 | 1,437 | 3,616 |
| Total | 31,100 | 25,048 | 5,010 56,148 |

2.3 Disaggregation of Farming households by age of household head

A total of 7,867 farming households were headed by youths (18-30years). Majority of farming households (26,297) were headed by people aged between 31 and 50 years. Crop cultivation was the most common type of farming activity across all age groups followed by livestock rearing.

| Sub-Ccounty | 5-17 | 18-30 | 31-50 | 51-70 | 70 & above |
|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| Bwongyera | 1 | 279 | 1,003 | 560 | 195 |
| Ihunga | 1 | 257 | 884 | 600 | 187 |
| Kibatsi | 1 | 389 | 1,350 | 859 | 311 |
| Nyabihoko | 1 | 272 | 1,023 | 734 | 268 |
| Rwashamaire T/C | 0 | 102 | 378 | 225 | 101 |
| Kagarama T/C | 0 | 177 | 626 | 405 | 129 |
| Nyamunuka T/C | 2 | 412 | 1,360 | 1,103 | 365 |
| Central Division | 0 | 71 | 250 | 119 | 34 |
| Eastern Division | 0 | 72 | 189 | 103 | 22 |
| Western Division | 0 | 78 | 207 | 86 | 22 |
| Itojo | 0 | 438 | 1,410 | 885 | 262 |
| Kitwe T/C | 0 | 316 | 988 | 587 | 171 |
| Ntungamo | 1 | 393 | 1,381 | 877 | 260 |
| Nyakyera | 1 | 686 | 2,215 | 1,319 | 342 |
| | | | | | |

Table 3: Number of farming households by Sub County and age of household head

| Ruhaama | 0 | 464 | 1,623 | 973 | 286 |
|--------------------|----|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| Rukoni East | 0 | 448 | 1,377 | 856 | 175 |
| Rukoni West | 0 | 221 | 771 | 508 | 134 |
| Rweikiniro | 1 | 446 | 1,621 | 962 | 223 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama T/C | 0 | 270 | 847 | 466 | 100 |
| Kayonza | 2 | 511 | 1,621 | 1,029 | 296 |
| Ngoma | 0 | 406 | 1,562 | 1,049 | 211 |
| Rubaare | 2 | 481 | 1,440 | 879 | 200 |
| Rubaare T/C | 1 | 73 | 389 | 244 | 63 |
| Rugarama | 0 | 555 | 1,663 | 1,060 | 287 |
| Total | 14 | 7,867 | 26,297 | 16,565 | 4,662 |

2.4 Disaggregation by Farming activity

Findings revealed that a higher proportion of households were involved in crop cultivation, on average 99.11% as shown in figure 1. Bwongyera had the highest proportion of households involved in crop cultivation at 99.9% while Ngoma had the lowest proportion of households involved in crop cultivation at 96.97%. Overall, farmer registration pilot findings revealed that 58.2% of the households reported to keeping livestock. Bwongyera had the highest proportion of households rearing livestock at 77.03% while Rubaare Town Council had the lowest proportion rearing livestock at 42.57%. Overall, the number of aquaculture households was less than 1% with Eastern Division having the highest percentage of aquaculture households at 0.7%. On average, 1.6% of households practiced apiculture in the district with Rukoni West having the highest proportion keeping bees at 5.3%.

| Sub-County | Crop | Livestock | Aquaculture | Apiculture |
|------------------|------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Bwongyera | 99.9 | 77.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Ihunga | 99.4 | 66.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Kibatsi | 99.7 | 63.1 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| Nyabihoko | 99.4 | 64.9 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| Rwashamaire T/C | 99.8 | 72.2 | 0.7 | 3.1 |
| Kagarama T/C | 99.7 | 61.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Nyamunuka T/C | 99.9 | 54.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| Central Division | 98.8 | 58.9 | | 3.1 |
| | | | | |

Table 4: Proportion of households practising each farming activity by sub-county

| Total | 99.0 99.1 | 58.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 1.7 |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|------------|
| Rugarama | 99.6 | 54.7 | | 0.3 |
| Rubaare T/C | 99.1 | 42.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Rubaare | 99.7 | 53.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Ngoma | 97.0 | 59.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Kayonza | 98.6 | 59.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama T/C | 98.6 | 65.9 | | 4.7 |
| Rweikiniro | 97.1 | 55.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Rukoni West | 99.3 | 64.8 | 0.2 | 5.9 |
| Rukoni East | 99.1 | 47.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Ruhaama | 98.9 | 56.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
| Nyakyera | 99.7 | 59.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Ntungamo | 99.1 | 55.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Kitwe T/C | 99.6 | 47.9 | | 0.1 |
| Itojo | 99.9 | 53.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Western Division | 99.3 | 68.1 | | 0.2 |
| Eastern Division | 97.2 | 60.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

2.5 Main purpose of the farming activity

Table 5 reveals that fifty nine percent of farming households are involved in agriculture activities with the main purpose of acquiring food (subsistence farming). Most (86.6%) of the farming household that grew crops mentioned food as the main purpose of the farming activity while the main purpose of livestock farming is sale at 86.7%. A higher proportion of apiculture and aquaculture households reported the main purpose of the farming activity as sale.

| Main purpose | Food | Sale | Other | |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|--|
| Crop cultivation | 86.6 | 13.1 | 0.3 | |
| Livestock rearing | 12.8 | 86.7 | 0.5 | |
| Aquaculture | 13.8 | 85.1 | 1.1 | |
| Apiculture/apiary | 13.1 | 86.2 | 0.7 | |
| Total | 58.7 | 41.0 | 0.3 | |

 Table 5: Main purpose of the farming activity

CHAPTER THREE: CROP CULTIVATION

3.0 Introduction

According to the study results in figure 2 below, on average, plantains were most commonly grown crop category by households at 93.3%, while leguminous crops were the second most commonly grown at 92.2%.

At the Sub County level, leguminous crops were most commonly grown in Rwashamaire Town Council, Bwongyera and Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council. Oil seeds were most commonly grown in Eastern division, Kitwe Town Council, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro, Kayonza and Ngoma. Plantains were most commonly grown in Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko and Kagarama Town Council while Tree Crops were most commonly grown in Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Western Division, Itojo, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rukoni East, Rubaare, Rubaare Town Council and Rugarama.

Bwongyera Sub County had the highest proportion of households growing Tree crops, Root tubers and Oilseeds & palm oil at 92.3%, 96.6% and 82.3% respectively. Kibatsi had the highest proportion growing plantains at 98.1% while Central Division had the highest proportion growing fruits at 91.4%. Itojo had the highest proportion growing Plantains at 98.5%. Over 60% of the households in Western division and Rwashamaire Town Council grew Vegetables.

Fruits were least commonly grown in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire Town Council, Kagarama Town Council while Plantains were least commonly grown in Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Eastern Division, Western Division, Kitwe Town Council, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro, Rugarama, Kayonza, Ngoma and Rubaare. Root tubers were the least commonly grown crop category in Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council and Rubaare Town Council while Vegetables were the least commonly grown crop category in Itojo and Ruhaama.

| Cub country | Canaala | Logumo | Oil seeds | Vegetables | Root | T | Dlamtaing | Tree |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Sub county | Cereals | Legume | & Palm | Vegetables | tubers | Fruits | Plantains | crops |
| Bwongyera | 91.6 | 96.6 | 82.8 | 25.3 | 96.6 | 21.3 | 96.1 | 92.3 |
| Ihunga | 76.7 | 91.4 | 65.6 | 42.4 | 89.5 | 40.2 | 97.9 | 89.4 |
| Kibatsi | 78.5 | 93.7 | 79.6 | 39.5 | 92.9 | 18.9 | 98.1 | 86.5 |
| Nyabihoko | 81.1 | 91.8 | 60.5 | 35.4 | 86.4 | 29.0 | 93.6 | 81.1 |
| Rwashamaire | 88.3 | 96.7 | 73.3 | 69.2 | 94.2 | 44.5 | 93.1 | 84.2 |
| Kagarama T/C Nyamunuka | 83.0 | 93.5 | 60.4 | 42.0 | 87.2 | 31.8 | 96.8 | 83.4 |
| T/C | 59.2 | 93.8 | 58.8 | 12.2 | 88.0 | 5.4 | 95.0 | 89.8 |
| Central division | 89.3 | 91.2 | 58.9 | 57.6 | 91.4 | 57.0 | 95.1 | 66.9 |
| Eastern division Western | 83.2 | 87.1 | 49.0 | 41.2 | 66.1 | 34.5 | 76.7 | 45.9 |
| division | 85.8 | 85.3 | 47.6 | 62.6 | 66.9 | 8.5 | 88.2 | 60.6 |
| Itojo | 65.9 | 92.4 | 39.2 | 49.6 | 84.9 | 51.3 | 98.5 | 77.8 |
| Kitwe T/C | 89.6 | 92.9 | 69.0 | 9.2 | 49.1 | 5.5 | 90.8 | 31.7 |
| Ntungamo | 78.9 | 89.9 | 40.5 | 18.4 | 80.1 | 12.4 | 93.5 | 64.3 |
| Nyakyera | 76.6 | 91.4 | 51.7 | 32.4 | 82.2 | 21.4 | 95.9 | 84.5 |
| Ruhaama | 79.0 | 92.0 | 32.4 | 52.9 | 77.3 | 50.9 | 94.4 | 72.1 |
| Rukoni east | 79.3 | 90.0 | 53.2 | 44.5 | 81.7 | 42.7 | 94.5 | 79.3 |
| Rukoni west | 91.6 | 94.6 | 73.4 | 10.7 | 80.7 | 3.1 | 94.3 | 62.6 |
| Rweikiniro Kafunjo- | 68.8 | 90.1 | 34.0 | 19.8 | 60.0 | 16.5 | 89.4 | 58.8 |
| Mirama T/C | 96.1 | 95.2 | 57.2 | 35.5 | 71.6 | 41.2 | 88.5 | 43.4 |
| Kayonza | 79.5 | 92.3 | 44.9 | 41.9 | 83.0 | 8.3 | 90.4 | 41 |
| Ngoma | 88.4 | 90.7 | 50.8 | 19.8 | 61.5 | 4.4 | 78.7 | 9.8 |
| Rubaare | 85.0 | 91.3 | 51.3 | 29.3 | 70.5 | 24.6 | 95.2 | 47.2 |
| Rubaare T/C | 78.9 | 88.6 | 40.8 | 16.1 | 63.4 | 23.2 | 92.4 | 22.1 |
| Rugarama | 88.1 | 94.6 | 51.9 | 24.7 | 78.9 | 18.3 | 96.3 | 55.4 |
| Total | 79.9 | 92.2 | 53.4 | 32.0 | 78.7 | 23.7 | 93.3 | 64.9 |

Table 6: Proportion of households growing the different crop categories

3.1 Households involved in Crop Production

3.1.1 Cereals

The study results in table 7 below revealed that maize was the most commonly grown cereal in all Sub Counties except for Ihunga where Finger Millet was most commonly grown.

Eastern Division had the highest proportion of households growing wheat and rice at 0.27% for both crops. Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council had the highest proportion of households growing maize and sorghum at 95.97% and 48.16% respectively while Bwongyera had the highest proportion growing Finger Millet at 74.48%.

Wheat was least commonly grown in all Sub Counties except for Itojo, Kitwe Town Council, Kayonza, and Ngoma where rice was least commonly grown.

| Sub county | Wheat | Rice | Maize | Finger millet | Sorghum |
|-------------------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| Bwongyera | 0.2 | 0.2 | 84.4 | 74.5 | 4.3 |
| Ihunga | 0.1 | 0.1 | 60.7 | 61.1 | 8.5 |
| Kibatsi | 0.0 | 0.1 | 62.1 | 52.7 | 6.6 |
| Nyabihoko | 0.0 | 0.3 | 76.3 | 51.0 | 5.6 |
| Rwashamaire T/C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.1 | 42.7 | 5.5 |
| Kagarama T/C | 0.1 | 0.1 | 77.6 | 46.9 | 7.6 |
| Nyamunuka T/C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.8 | 29.4 | 0.4 |
| Central division | 0.0 | 0.2 | 88.3 | 43.1 | 2.5 |
| Eastern division | 0.3 | 0.3 | 81.3 | 40.0 | 5.1 |
| Western division | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 33.5 | 5.3 |
| Itojo | 0.1 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 35.8 | 2.8 |
| Kitwe T/C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 20.4 | 10.2 |
| Ntungamo | 0.1 | 0.1 | 67.8 | 46.9 | 4.4 |
| Nyakyera | 0.1 | 0.2 | 73.4 | 28.3 | 8.1 |
| Ruhaama | 0.1 | 0.1 | 75.7 | 21.8 | 31.5 |
| Rukoni east | 0.1 | 0.2 | 75.2 | 40.8 | 43.5 |
| Rukoni west | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 46.8 | 14.3 |
| Rweikiniro | 0.0 | 0.2 | 68.7 | 21.5 | 7.2 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 0.0 | 0.1 | 96.0 | 35.3 | 48.2 |
| Kayonza | 0.1 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 14.3 | 9.3 |
| Ngoma | 0.2 | 0.2 | 89.9 | 15.9 | 8.6 |
| Rubaare | 0.0 | 0.1 | 81.6 | 39.2 | 7.7 |
| Rubaare T/C | 0.0 | 0.1 | 78.4 | 30.0 | 1.9 |
| Rugarama | 0.1 | 0.2 | 84.2 | 50.0 | 11.3 |
| Total | 0.1 | 0.1 | 75.1 | 36.6 | 11.7 |

Table 7: Proportion of households growing cereals by sub-county

3.1.2 Legumes

Beans were the most commonly grown legumes in all Sub Counties. Rwashamaire town council had the highest proportion of households growing beans at 96.7% while Rukoni East had the highest proportion growing Field peas at 17.51%. Rwashamaire town council had the highest proportion growing Cowpeas at 9.77% while Eastern Division had the highest proportion growing Pigeon peas at 0.8%.

Pigeon peas were least commonly grown in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire Town Council, Central Division, Eastern Division, Western Division, Itojo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rukoni East, Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council, Kayonza, Rubaare and Rugarama. Cow peas were least commonly grown in Kagarama Town Council, Nyamunuka Town Council, Kitwe Town Council Ntungamo, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro, Ngoma, and Rubaare Town Council.

| Sub county | Beans | Field peas | Cowpeas | Pigeon peas |
|-------------------|-------|------------|---------|----------------|
| Bwongyera | 96.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Ihunga | 91.1 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Kibatsi | 93.8 | 8.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Nyabihoko | 92.1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 96.7 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 0.1 |
| Kagarama TC | 93.4 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 93.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Central division | 92.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| Eastern division | 89.3 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Western division | 85.2 | 1.9 | 6.8 | 0.2 |
| Itojo | 92.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Kitwe TC | 92.8 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Ntungamo | 90.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Nyakyera | 91.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Ruhaama | 92.6 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Rukoni east | 90.5 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Rukoni west | 95.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Rweikiniro | 92.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 96.2 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 0.6 |
| Kayonza | 93.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Ngoma | 93.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Rubaare | 91.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Rubaare TC | 89.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Rugarama | 94.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Table 8: Proportion of households growing legumes by sub-county

3.1.3 Oil seeds

According to the study results in the table below, ground nuts were the most commonly grown oil seeds in all Sub Counties in the district.

Rwashamaire Town Council had the highest proportion of households growing Groundnuts in the district at 73.69% while Nyamunuka Town Council had the highest proportion growing Soya beans, Sunflower and Sim sim at 9.63%, 3.07% and 0.48% respectively.

Oil palm and simsim was the least commonly grown oil seeds in all Sub counties.

Ground nuts were most commonly grown in Rwashamaire TC and Rukoni west and least grown in Kibatsi and Ruhaama.

| Sub county | Groundnuts | Soya beans | Sunflower | Sim-sim | Oil palm |
|-------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Bwongyera | 40.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ihunga | 51.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Kibatsi | 31.2 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Nyabihoko | 52.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 73.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Kagarama TC | 34.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 55.1 | 9.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Central Div | 44.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Div | 51.8 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Western Div | 50.7 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Itojo | 40.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Kitwe TC | 51.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ntungamo | 40.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nyakyera | 51.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Ruhaama | 31.2 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rukoni east | 52.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Rukoni west | 73.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Rweikiniro | 34.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 55.1 | 9.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Kayonza | 44.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ngoma | 51.8 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rubaare | 50.7 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Rubaare TC | 40.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rugarama | 51.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 9: Proportion of households growing oil seeds by sub-county

3.1.4 Vegetables

The analysis in table 10 below shows that pumpkins were the most commonly grown vegetables in Bwongyera, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire Town Council, Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Eastern Division, Western Division, Kitwe Town Council, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rukoni East, Rweikiniro, Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council, NgomaRubaare and Rugarama. Egg plants were most commonly grown in Ihunga, Kagarama Town Council, Itojo, and Rubaare Town Council. Onions were most commonly grown in Rukoni West and Kayonza.

Western Division had the highest proportion of households growing Tomatoes and Dodo at 9.47% and 3.88% respectively. Rwashamaire town Council had the highest proportion of households growing cabbages and pumpkins at 8.3% and 53.36% respectively. Eastern Division had the highest proportion of households growing Carrots at 2.93%. Kayonza had the highest proportion growing onions at 27% while Central Division had the highest proportion of households growing Egg plants at 45.42%

Dodo was least commonly grown in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Kibatsi, Rwashamaire Town Council, Kagarama Town Council, Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Eastern Division, Itojo, Nyakyera, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro, Kayonza, Ngoma, Rubaare, Rubaare Town Council and Rugarama while Carrots were least commonly grown in Nyabihoko, Western Division, Kitwe Town Council, Ntungamo, Ruhaama, and Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council.

The results further revealed that less than 5% of farmers grow tomatoes, onions and cabbage.

| | | | | | | Egg - | |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|------|
| Sub county | Cabbages | Tomatoes | Carrots | Onions | Pumpkins | plants | Dodo |
| Bwongyera | 3.1 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 16.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| Ihunga | 3.2 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 31.5 | 33.9 | 0.2 |
| Kibatsi | 4.0 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 32.5 | 26.8 | 0.1 |
| Nyabihoko | 1.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 24.5 | 23.2 | 2.4 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 8.3 | 8.9 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 53.4 | 45.2 | 1.1 |
| Kagarama TC | 3.6 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 32.9 | 34.3 | 0.2 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Central Div | 1.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 45.8 | 45.4 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Div | 6.1 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 1.9 |
| Western Div | 4.4 | 9.5 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 44.4 | 39.1 | 3.9 |
| Itojo | 1.9 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 32.1 | 41.3 | 0.0 |
| Kitwe TC | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 |
| Ntungamo | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 0.2 |
| Nyakyera | 1.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 26.6 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
| Ruhaama | 2.6 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 42.3 | 24.1 | 1.7 |
| Rukoni east | 3.7 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 37.6 | 23.4 | 0.0 |
| Rukoni west | 1.7 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Rweikiniro | 1.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 0.1 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 5.7 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 23.7 | 16.6 | 1.0 |

Table 10: Proportion of households growing vegetables

| Kayonza | 2.1 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 17.8 | 11.6 | 0.0 |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|
| Ngoma | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| Rubaare | 1.9 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 0.2 |
| Rubaare T/C | 1.8 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| Rugarama | 1.2 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 21.9 | 17.2 | 0.3 |

3.1.5 Tree crops

Findings in the table 11 below, reveal that coffee was the most commonly grown tree crop in all Sub Counties in the district. Bwongyera Sub County had the highest proportion of households growing Coffee at 92.42% while Rukoni East had the highest proportion of households growing Cashew nuts at 1.2%. On average however, less than 1% of households grow cashew nuts, cocoa and tea.

| Sub county | Cashew nuts | Coffee | Cocoa | Tea |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-----|
| Bwongyera | 0.1 | 92.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Ihunga | 0.2 | 89.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Kibatsi | 0.2 | 86.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Nyabihoko | 0.1 | 81.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 0.0 | 84.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Kagarama TC | 0.0 | 83.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 0.0 | 89.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Central Div | 0.2 | 67.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Div | 0.0 | 46.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Western Div | 0.0 | 60.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Itojo | 0.1 | 77.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Kitwe TC | 0.1 | 31.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Ntungamo | 0.0 | 64.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nyakyera | 0.2 | 84.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Ruhaama | 0.2 | 72.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Rukoni east | 1.2 | 79.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Rukoni west | 0.0 | 62.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Rweikiniro | 0.2 | 60.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 0.2 | 43.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Kayonza | 0.0 | 41.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

| Ngoma | 0.1 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|----------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|
| Rubaare | 0.3 | 47.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Rubaare town council | 0.0 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rugarama | 0.0 | 55.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0.2 | 65.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

3.1.6 Root crops

Sweet potatoes were the most commonly grown root crops in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire, Town Council, Kagarama, Town Council, Nyamunuka Town Council, Itojo, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro, Kayonza, Rubaare, Rubaare Town Council and Rugarama while Cassava was most commonly grown in Central Division, Eastern Division, Western Division, Kitwe Town Council, Ruhaama, Kafunjo-Mirama TC and Ngoma Sub Counties.

Rukoni East had the highest proportion of households growing Irish potatoes and Yams in the district at 48.18% and 45.08% respectively. Central Division had the highest proportion growing Cassava at 86.04% while Bwongyera had the highest proportion growing Sweet potatoes at 94.99%.

Yams were least commonly grown in Bwongera, Nyamunuka, Eastern Division, Kitwe Town Council, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Kafunjo-Mirama, Town Council, Kayonza, Ngoma, Rubaare Town Council and Rugarama. Irish potatoes were the least commonly grown crop in Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire Town Council, Kagarama Town Council, Central Division, Western Division, Itojo, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rweikiniro and Rubaare.

| Sub County | Irish Potatoes | Sweet Potatoes | Cassava | Yam |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| Bwongyera | 5.2 | 95.0 | 84.1 | 4.9 |
| Ihunga | 4.5 | 85.7 | 61.0 | 25.4 |
| Kibatsi | 3.5 | 90.7 | 57.3 | 31.5 |
| Nyabihoko | 9.7 | 80.0 | 66.8 | 15.4 |

Table 12: Proportion of households growing root crops by sub county

| Rwashamaire TC | 22.1 | 88.8 | 82.2 | 35.5 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Kagarama TC | 7.5 | 84.0 | 59.7 | 31.7 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 6.1 | 82.5 | 59.6 | 3.2 |
| Central Div | 7.1 | 78.5 | 86.0 | 28.1 |
| Eastern Div | 18.7 | 52.0 | 56.0 | 13.3 |
| Western Div | 10.7 | 49.3 | 49.5 | 12.4 |
| Itojo | 5.7 | 75.5 | 44.6 | 33.7 |
| Kitwe TC | 11.9 | 30.0 | 40.2 | 2.8 |
| Ntungamo | 6.8 | 72.9 | 50.8 | 8.4 |
| Nyakyera | 12.1 | 69.4 | 54.3 | 21.1 |
| Ruhaama | 32.6 | 56.8 | 57.2 | 42.2 |
| Rukoni East | 48.2 | 69.9 | 65.9 | 45.1 |
| Rukoni West | 21.8 | 66.4 | 57.9 | 0.9 |
| Rweikiniro | 8.4 | 55.1 | 46.1 | 14.9 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 33.0 | 34.0 | 58.9 | 18.5 |
| Kayonza | 46.8 | 71.9 | 54.0 | 5.4 |
| Ngoma | 12.1 | 41.9 | 50.6 | 5.1 |
| Rubaare | 8.5 | 61.1 | 56.9 | 11.4 |
| Rubaare TC | 5.5 | 54.1 | 46.8 | 2.3 |
| Rugarama | 16.4 | 74.6 | 44.6 | 4.1 |

3.1.7 Fruits

Table 13 below shows that Avocados were the most commonly grown fruits in all Sub counties except for Nyamunuka Town Council, Eastern Division, Western Division and Rukoni West. Mangoes were most commonly grown in Eastern Division, Western Division and Rukoni West while apples were most commonly grown in Nyamunuka Town Council.

Central Division had the highest proportion of households growing Oranges, Pawpaw, Mango, Avocado and Guava. Itojo sub county had the highest proportion of households growing pineapples in the district at 17.2% while Ihunga had the highest proportion growing passion fruit at 14.4%.

Findings further revealed that less than one percent of households in Ntungamo grew Apples.

| Sub County | Orange | Pawpaw | Pineapple | Mango | Avocado | Guava | Apples | Passion |
|------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|--------|---------|
| Bwongyera | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 17.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Ihunga | 5.6 | 20.5 | 4.6 | 24.4 | 31.5 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 14.4 |
| Kibatsi | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 16.5 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 2.7 |

Table 13: Proportion of households growing fruits by Sub County

| Nyabihoko | 3.8 | 11.1 | 2.3 | 16.8 | 23.3 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 6.0 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| Rwashamaire TC | 4.2 | 19.8 | 1.5 | 26.0 | 32.6 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 10.9 |
| Kagarama TC | 3.7 | 15.5 | 1.0 | 18.3 | 24.6 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 12.6 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Central Div | 19.2 | 38.5 | 3.8 | 36.0 | 43.3 | 18.3 | 0.6 | 13.1 |
| Eastern Div | 8.8 | 11.7 | 5.9 | 22.7 | 21.9 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 9.1 |
| Western Div | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| Itojo | 2.9 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 5.2 |
| Kitwe TC | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Ntungamo | 1.7 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.7 |
| Nyakyera | 2.5 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 2.3 |
| Ruhaama | 4.3 | 24.5 | 3.2 | 33.6 | 38.7 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 4.6 |
| Rukoni East | 6.3 | 19.6 | 5.1 | 30.3 | 34.0 | 16.5 | 1.1 | 9.7 |
| Rukoni West | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Rweikiniro | 1.9 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 6.0 | 19.7 | 3.2 | 29.4 | 31.1 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 4.1 |
| Kayonza | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Ngoma | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Rubaare | 4.1 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| Rubaare TC | 2.5 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Rugarama | 2.0 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 14.8 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 2.4 |
| Total | 2.7 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 13.7 | 16.9 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 4.1 |

3.1.8 Plantains

The results from Table 14 below reveal that banana food was the most commonly grown plantains in all Sub Counties.

Ihunga had the highest proportion of households growing Banana food at 98.05%, Rukoni East had the highest proportion growing banana beer at 60.12% while Central Division had the highest proportion growing Banana sweet at 59.79%.

Banana beer was least commonly grown in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Rwashamaire Town Council, Kagarama Town Council, Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Eastern Division, Kitwe Town Council, Rweikiniro, Kayonza, Rubaare And Rubaare Town Council. Banana Sweet Was Least Commonly Grown in Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Western Division, Itojo, Ntungamo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council, Ngoma and Rugarama.

| Sub County | Banana food | Banana beer | Banana sweet |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Bwongyera | 95.7 | 25.9 | 26.1 |
| Ihunga | 98.1 | 27.8 | 36.1 |
| Kibatsi | 98.0 | 34.3 | 26.4 |
| Nyabihoko | 93.1 | 23.4 | 21.8 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 92.9 | 25.8 | 42.0 |
| Kagarama TC | 96.1 | 51.5 | 57.9 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 94.8 | 0.7 | 2.9 |
| Central Division | 96.3 | 34.4 | 59.8 |
| Eastern Division | 77.1 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| Western Division | 87.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Itojo | 98.0 | 44.5 | 34.3 |
| Kitwe TC | 90.4 | 22.5 | 27.5 |
| Ntungamo | 93.7 | 17.8 | 17.2 |
| Nyakyera | 95.8 | 36.4 | 16.9 |
| Ruhaama | 94.8 | 55.0 | 37.5 |
| Rukoni East | 94.7 | 60.1 | 48.8 |
| Rukoni West | 94.5 | 27.4 | 13.6 |
| Rweikiniro | 91.4 | 17.2 | 17.9 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 89.4 | 34.6 | 29.4 |
| Kayonza | 91.1 | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| Ngoma | 80.4 | 30.6 | 27.0 |
| Rubaare | 94.5 | 9.1 | 13.9 |
| Rubaare TC | 92.5 | 5.1 | 28.5 |
| Rugarama | 96.3 | 23.0 | 18.9 |

Table 14: Proportion of households growing plantain by Sub County

3.1.9 Sugar cane, vanilla and cotton

According to the study results from the table below, sugar cane was the most commonly grown crop in all Sub Counties. Central division had the highest proportion of households growing Sugar cane at 20.21%, Rukoni East had the highest proportion of households growing Cotton at 0.75% while Rweikiniro had the highest proportion growing Cotton at 0.52%.

Vanilla was least commonly grown In Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire Town Council, Kagarama Town Council, Eastern Division, Western Division, Itojo, Nyakyera, Ruhaama, Rukoni East, Kafunjo-Mirama Town Council, Kayonza and Ngoma. Cotton Was Least Commonly Grown in Bwongyera, Nyamunuka Town Council, Central Division, Kitwe Town Council, Rukoni West Rweikiniro, Rubaare Rubaare Town Council and Rugarama.

| Sub County | Sugarcane | Cotton | Vanilla |
|----------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Bwongyera | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Ihunga | 19.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Kibatsi | 9.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Nyabihoko | 7.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Kagarama TC | 14.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Central Div | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Div | 9.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Western Div | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Itojo | 17.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Kitwe TC | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Ntungamo | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Nyakyera | 7.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Ruhaama | 6.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Rukoni East | 10.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Rukoni West | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Rweikiniro | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Kayonza | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ngoma | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Rubaare | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Rubaare TC | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rugarama | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Table 15: Proportion of households growing Sugar Cane, Vanilla and Cotton bySub-County

3.2 Area under crops in acres.

According to the Agriculture Annual Survey (AAS) 2018, the National Mean Plot Size (MPS) was estimated to be 0.3 Ha amongst the 10 ZARDIs. Ntungamo belongs to the specific ZARDI of Mabarara and had an estimated MPS of 0.32 Ha.

3.2.1 Banana food

The area under banana food was estimated to be 24,633 ha. Table 15 shows that the estimated number of plots under banana food were 76,977. Out of these, 7,853 (36.2%) were under pure stand while 49,124 (63.8%) were under mixed stand.

3.2.2 Sweet potatoes

The area under sweet potatoes was estimated to be 14,296 ha. Table 16 shows that the estimated number of plots under sweet potatoes were 44,675. Out of these, 35,340 (79.1%) were under pure stand while 9,335 (20.9%) were under mixed stand.

3.2.3 Beans

The area under beans was estimated to be 24,154 ha. Table 16 shows that the estimated number of plots under beans were 75,480. Out of these, 21,297 (28.22%) were under pure stand while 54,183 (71.78%) were under mixed stand.

3.2.4 Rice

The area under rice was estimated to be 26.56 ha. Table 16 shows that the estimated number of plots under rice were 83. Out of these, 25 (30.1%) were under pure stand while 58 (69.9%) were under mixed stand.

3.2.5 Irish potatoes

The area under Irish potatoes was estimated to be 3220 ha. Table 16 shows that the estimated number of plots under Irish potatoes were 10,061. Out of these, 7,079 (70.4%) were under pure stand while 2,982 (29.6%) were under mixed stand.

3.2.6 Ground nuts

The area under Ground nuts was estimated to be 11,270 ha. Table 16 shows that the estimated number of plots under Irish potatoes were 35,220. Out of these, 24,825 (70.5%) were under pure stand while 10,395 (29.5%) were under mixed stand.

| District | Pure | %pure | Mixed | %mixed | Total | Area(ha) | Mean plot size (MPS) AAS,2018 |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------------------|
| Banana food | 7,853 | 36.2 | 49,124 | 63.8 | 76,977 | 24,633 | 0.32 |
| Sweet Potato | 35,340 | 79.1 | 9,335 | 20.9 | 44,675 | 14,296 | 0.32 |
| Beans | 21,297 | 28.22 | 54,183 | 71.78 | 75,480 | 24,154 | 0.32 |
| Rice | 25 | 30.1 | 58 | 69.9 | 83 | 26.56 | 0.32 |

| Table 16: Area under banana food | Table 1 | 16: | Area | under | banana | food |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----|------|-------|--------|------|
|----------------------------------|---------|-----|------|-------|--------|------|

| Irish Potato | 7,079 | 70.4 | 2,982 | 29.6 | 10,061 | 3220 | 0.32 |
|--------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| Ground nuts | 24,825 | 70.5 | 10,395 | 29.5 | 35,220 | 11,270 | 0.32 |

CHAPTER FOUR: AQUACULTURE

4.0 Introduction

There are 60 aquaculture households in Ntungamo District. 92.2% of fish ponds are stocked with tilapia and all tanks have only cat fish. 9.1% of fish ponds are stocked with mirror cap

4.1 Production Systems

4.1.1 Fish Ponds

Fish ponds were the most common type of fish production system (113 in number) in Ntungamo district with 96 of them stocked. Rwashamaire town council and Nyamunuka town council had the highest number of stocked fish ponds, each having 10 stocked ponds, while central division, eastern division and Ntungamo had the least number of fish ponds, each having one stocked fish pond.

| Sub County | Stocked | Un-Stocked | Total |
|------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| Ihunga | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Kibatsi | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Nyabihoko | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| Kagarama TC | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Eastern Division | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Itojo | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| Ntungamo | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Nyakyera | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Ruhaama | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Rukoni East | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Rukoni West | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Rweikiniro | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Kayonza | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Ngoma | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Rubaare | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Rubaare TC | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 96 | 17 | 113 |

Table 17: Number of fish ponds by sub-county

4.1.2 Fish Tanks and Cages

Fish tanks and cages were very rare among aquaculture households. There were only 4 Fish Tanks found (2 in Rukoni East and 2 in Rwekiniro) and 1 cage found in Rubaare. 3 of the tanks were stocked.

CHAPTER FIVE: LIVESTOCK REARING

5.0 Introduction

Results from the farmer registration revealed that 32,689 (58%) of the households reported to be keeping livestock which include Cattle, Goats, Pigs, Poultry, Rabbits and Dogs. Majority were keeping goats (66%) followed by poultry (52%). 33% of the households were keeping pigs and 27% keeping cattle. A low proportion of households kept sheep, rabbits and dogs







Figure 2: Showing population of livestock in the district

| Livestock | Cattle | Goats | Sheep | Pigs | Rabbits | Dogs | Poultry |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|
| Bwongyera | 304 | 928 | 160 | 921 | 121 | 109 | 631 |
| Ihunga | 224 | 782 | 161 | 669 | 94 | 226 | 557 |
| Kibatsi | 460 | 1,247 | 191 | 1,163 | 143 | 250 | 621 |
| Nyabihoko | 434 | 1,009 | 125 | 464 | 54 | 386 | 868 |
| Rwashamaire | 147 | 411 | 44 | 209 | 46 | 118 | 361 |
| Kagarama | 167 | 505 | 77 | 466 | 66 | 155 | 470 |
| Nyamunuka | 453 | 1,249 | 104 | 508 | 128 | 216 | 1,034 |
| Central Div | 144 | 203 | 26 | 75 | 36 | 127 | 147 |
| Eastern Div | 81 | 142 | 31 | 67 | 19 | 57 | 136 |
| Western Div | 80 | 159 | 12 | 68 | 17 | 91 | 161 |

Table 18: Number of households keeping livestock by sub-county

| Total | 8,917 | 21,660 | 3,525 | 11,048 | 2,917 | 6,954 | 16,927 |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Rugarama | 616 | 1,443 | 190 | 516 | 182 | 594 | 968 |
| Rubaare | 121 | 243 | 27 | 58 | 36 | 85 | 140 |
| Rubaare | 576 | 1,067 | 250 | 346 | 232 | 429 | 879 |
| Ngoma | 1,143 | 1,245 | 395 | 114 | 107 | 419 | 957 |
| Kayonza | 510 | 1,466 | 242 | 547 | 362 | 515 | 1,101 |
| Kafunjo-Mi | 115 | 714 | 95 | 297 | 142 | 192 | 759 |
| Rweikiniro | 456 | 1,311 | 121 | 541 | 166 | 329 | 927 |
| Rukoni West | 151 | 559 | 79 | 465 | 91 | 163 | 606 |
| Rukoni East | 259 | 909 | 124 | 560 | 116 | 373 | 966 |
| Ruhaama | 173 | 1,209 | 120 | 910 | 319 | 291 | 958 |
| Nyakyera | 896 | 1,847 | 385 | 930 | 140 | 636 | 1,380 |
| Ntungamo | 557 | 1,149 | 201 | 476 | 136 | 458 | 787 |
| Kitwe TC | 184 | 629 | 74 | 231 | 52 | 233 | 602 |
| Itojo | 666 | 1,234 | 291 | 447 | 112 | 502 | 911 |

5.1 Cattle Keeping

According to farmer registration results, on average 76% of households in the district keep indigenous cattle while on average 32% of households in the district keep Exotic cattle. Majority of the households keeping indigenous cattle keep Ankole long horned cattle with Ruhama and Rukoni East having the highest proportion at 89%. Findings further revealed that a higher proportion of households in Kitwe TC keep Short horned zebu (29%) than the other Sub Counties. A higher proportion of households reported to keeping More Exotic Dairy than Exotic beef with central division having the highest proportion (75%) followed by Ngoma sub county with 62%.



Figure 3: Proportion of households keeping Cattle

5.2 Cattle population by breed

35 cattle.

Findings reveal that Farmers keep more numbers of indigenous cattle than exotic cattle According to pilot study findings in Table 24 below, there were more numbers of Ankole long horned cattle than Short Horned Zebu in all the Sub Counties except Kitwe TC where there were more numbers of Short horn zebu. Nyakyera Sub County had the highest number of Ankole Long Horned Cattle with each household keeping on average 5 cattle while Kitwe TC had the highest number of Short Horned Zebu: each household keeping on average 20 cattle. In the case of Exotic cattle, there were more numbers of exotic dairy cattle kept in all Sub Counties than exotic beef. The highest numbers of Improved Dairy breeds were reported in Ngoma Sub County each household keeping on average 15 cattle while the highest numbers of Improved Beef breeds were found in Rubaare Sub County: each household keeping on average

| Table 19: Cattle population by sub-county |
|---|
|---|

| | Ankole Long | Short Horn | Exotic/Improved – | Exotic/Improved – | |
|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Sub County | Horned | Zebu | Diary | Beef | Total |
| Bwongyera | 583 | 56 | 74 | 25 | 738 |

| Ihunga | 407 | 92 | 136 | 14 | 649 |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| Kibatsi | 901 | 62 | 325 | 42 | 1,330 |
| Nyabihoko | 1,536 | 184 | 445 | 50 | 2,215 |
| Rwashamaire | 308 | 110 | 350 | 5 | 773 |
| Kagarama TC | 360 | 100 | 40 | 6 | 506 |
| Nyamunuka | 731 | 444 | 1,241 | 20 | 2,436 |
| Central Division | 460 | 39 | 403 | 21 | 923 |
| Eastern Division | 611 | 69 | 207 | 67 | 954 |
| Western Division | 205 | 28 | 251 | 2 | 486 |
| Itojo | 2,733 | 460 | 369 | 23 | 3,585 |
| Kitwe TC | 497 | 1,042 | 305 | 7 | 1,851 |
| Ntungamo | 1,853 | 229 | 1,408 | 84 | 3,574 |
| Nyakyera | 4,061 | 81 | 1,798 | 39 | 5,979 |
| Ruhaama | 626 | 60 | 68 | 43 | 797 |
| Rukoni East | 958 | 97 | 177 | 14 | 1,246 |
| Rukoni West | 623 | 18 | 276 | 7 | 924 |
| Rweikiniro | 1,522 | 264 | 2,109 | 16 | 3,911 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 319 | | 227 | 16 | 562 |
| Kayonza | 1,815 | 342 | 605 | 13 | 2,775 |
| Ngoma | 3,563 | 522 | 10,886 | 227 | 15,198 |
| Rubaare | 1,678 | 294 | 5,183 | 283 | 74,38 |
| Rubaare TC | 340 | 30 | 779 | 70 | 1,219 |
| Rugarama | 1,644 | 355 | 2,940 | 65 | 5,004 |
| Total | 28,334 | 4,978 | 30,602 | 1,159 | 65,073 |

5.3 Goats

Farmer Registration results indicate that majority of the households with Goats in all Sub Counties reported to keeping indigenous breeds of goats at an average of 84%. Kayonza Sub County had the highest number of households keeping indigenous goats at a proportion of 98% followed by Rwashamaire and Nyakyera. Kafunjo- Mirama reported the highest number of households keeping Exotic goats at a proportion of 55%.

Figure 4: Proportion of households keeping goats by Sub County



5.4 Goat Population by breed

Generally, farmers keep high numbers of indigenous goats compared to exotic breeds were kept across all Sub Counties. Kafunjo Mirama had the highest number of exotic breeds (1,677) while Ngoma had the highest number of indigenous breeds (7,585).

| Sub-County | Goat Exotic/Improved | Goat Indigenous | Total 2,360 | |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Bwongyera | 640 | 1,720 | | |
| Ihunga | 588 | 1,419 | 2,007 | |
| Kibatsi | 509 | 3,080 | 3,589 | |
| Nyabihoko | 1,123 | 2,825 | 3,948 | |
| Rwashamaire | 149 | 1,588 | 1,737 | |
| Kagarama Tc | 178 | 1,413 | 1,591 | |
| Nyamunuka | 230 | 3,918 | 4,148 | |
| Central Div | 253 | 1,186 | 1,439 | |
| Eastern Div | 140 | 700 | 840 | |
| Western Div | 195 | 585 | 780 | |
| Itojo | 409 | 5,123 | 5,532 | |
| Kitwe Tc | 582 | 2,249 | 2,831 | |
| Ntungamo | 1,314 | 3,618 | 4,932 | |
| Nyakyera | 626 | 7,127 | 7,753 | |
| Ruhaama | 411 | 3,611 | 4,022 | |
| Rukoni East | 659 | 3,106 | 3,765 | |
| Rukoni West | 107 | 1,647 | 1,754 | |
| Rweikiniro | 361 | 5,455 | 5,816 | |
| Kafunjo-Mi | 1,677 | 1,179 | 2,856 | |

Table 20: Goat population by breed

| Rugarama Total | 515 11,985 | 5,838 77,704 | 6,353 89,689 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Rubaare Tc | 221 | 1,261 | 1,482 |
| Rubaare | 661 | 4,994 | 5,655 |
| Ngoma | 266 | 7,585 | 7,851 |
| Kayonza | 171 | 6,477 | 6,648 |

5.5 Poultry

It can be seen from the graph below a high number of households in all the Sub Counties keep indigenous chicken compared to other poultry breeds. Nyakyera had the highest number of households keeping indigenous poultry at 95%, Itojo had the highest number keeping Exotic chicken. Rubare and Kayonza had the highest number of households keeping duck at 15% and 14% respectively

Figure 5: Households keeping Poultry by sub-county



5.6 Poultry Population

There were higher numbers of indigenous poultry being kept in all Sub Counties except for Central Division, Eastern Division, Western Division and Rubaare TC where there were higher numbers of Exotic layers being kept. Nyakyera Sub County reported the highest numbers of indigenous poultry breeds; each Household on average keeping 5 birds. The highest number of Exotic Layers were reported to being kept in Central Division, each household keeping an average of 280 birds.

Kayonza Sub County had the highest number of ducks being kept while Nyamunuka had the highest number of turkeys in the district. Households with ducks and turkeys kept an average of 3 birds.

| Sub County | Indigenous | Exotic – Broilers | Exotic – Layers | Breeders- Broilers | Breeders – Layers | Ducks | Turkeys |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Bwongyera | 1563 | 523 | 230 | 55 | 48 | 82 | 34 |
| Ihunga | 1134 | 47 | 908 | 72 | 230 | 81 | 1 |
| Kibatsi | 2834 | 99 | 164 | 2 | 15 | 162 | 24 |
| Nyabihoko | 3175 | 804 | 265 | 25 | 167 | 140 | 29 |
| Rwashamaire | 2990 | 104 | 49 | 517 | 10 | 94 | 39 |
| Kagarama TC | 1680 | 50 | 128 | 19 | 26 | 73 | |
| Nyamunuka | 4864 | 2667 | 1738 | 346 | 116 | 407 | 94 |
| Central Div | 724 | 54 | 3361 | 216 | 40 | 32 | 14 |
| Eastern Div | 809 | 354 | 914 | 9 | 46 | 33 | 5 |
| Western Div | 830 | 365 | 1325 | 27 | 2 | 37 | 5 |
| Itojo | 3665 | 145 | 1552 | 29 | 59 | 254 | 3 |

Table 21: Poultry Population by Sub County
| Kitwe TC | 2605 | 329 | 309 | 46 | 110 | 235 | 10 |
|----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Ntungamo | 3876 | 202 | 2674 | 34 | 101 | 92 | 4 |
| Nyakyera | 6028 | 69 | 471 | 53 | 306 | 209 | 43 |
| Ruhaama | 3460 | 449 | 731 | 77 | 65 | 339 | 15 |
| Rukoni East | 4152 | 160 | 217 | 23 | 23 | 186 | 4 |
| Rukoni West | 3187 | 134 | 1427 | | | 208 | 29 |
| Rweikiniro | 4312 | 49 | 26 | 15 | 89 | 399 | 38 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 4236 | 105 | 320 | 4 | 5 | 459 | 6 |
| Kayonza | 4869 | 534 | 115 | 84 | 54 | 495 | 12 |
| Ngoma | 5392 | 514 | 1176 | 13 | 18 | 130 | 5 |
| Rubaare | 4484 | 732 | 1214 | 132 | 144 | 426 | 37 |
| Rubaare TC | 905 | 938 | 1116 | 464 | 699 | 48 | 4 |
| Rugarama | 4559 | 139 | 128 | 36 | 4 | 234 | 10 |
| Total | 76,333 | 9,566 | 20,558 | 2,298 | 2,377 | 4,855 | 465 |

5.7 Other livestock

It was reported that there were higher numbers of pigs being kept than other livestock in Bwongyera, Ihunga, Kibatsi, Nyabihoko, Rwashamaire, Kagarama Tc, Eastern Division, Western Division, Kitwe Tc, Ntungamo, Rukoni East, Rukoni West, Rweikiniro and Bukulula. Furthermore, there were higher numbers of rabbits being kept than other livestock in Nyamunuka, Ruhaama, Kafunjo - Mirama, Kayonza, Rubaare and Rubaare TC. reported to keeping the highest numbers of all the livestock as shown in Table 26 below. There were more numbers of indigenous sheep kept in all Sub Counties than exotic sheep.

Furthermore, Ngoma Sub County reported to keeping the highest numbers of indigenous sheep; each household keeping an average of 6 animals. A high number of Pigs were kept in Kibatsi Sub County while Kayonza and Nyakyera Sub Counties kept the highest numbers of rabbits and dogs respectively. On average, households in Ntungamo keep 6 rabbits and 2 dogs. On average, a household with pigs had an average of 3 animals, a household with sheep reported 3 animals and those with rabbits had an average of 5 animals.

Table 22: Other livestock population

| Sub County | Sheep – Exotic/Improved | Sheep – Indigenous | Pigs | Rabbits | Dogs |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| Bwongyera | 123 | 232 | 1689 | 524 | 170 |
| Ihunga | 90 | 242 | 1044 | 372 | 326 |
| Kibatsi | 105 | 399 | 2228 | 784 | 354 |
| Nyabihoko | 165 | 338 | 964 | 259 | 572 |
| Rwashamaire | 18 | 118 | 506 | 251 | 175 |
| Kagarama Tc | 18 | 197 | 905 | 325 | 248 |
| Nyamunuka | 50 | 335 | 1072 | 1082 | 381 |
| Central Div | 52 | 36 | 238 | 218 | 245 |
| Eastern Div | 16 | 131 | 162 | 105 | 122 |
| Western Div | 25 | 41 | 362 | 142 | 190 |
| Itojo | 74 | 1079 | 779 | 774 | 758 |
| Kitwe Tc | 22 | 261 | 492 | 293 | 406 |
| Ntungamo | 303 | 474 | 1043 | 788 | 746 |
| Nyakyera | 189 | 1726 | 1623 | 764 | 983 |
| Ruhaama | 52 | 336 | 1545 | 1815 | 408 |
| Rukoni East | 120 | 339 | 930 | 631 | 589 |
| Rukoni West | 16 | 189 | 857 | 422 | 263 |
| Rweikiniro | 43 | 399 | 1031 | 991 | 493 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 188 | 117 | 614 | 835 | 299 |
| Kayonza | 21 | 1006 | 1011 | 2261 | 869 |
| Ngoma | 149 | 2247 | 291 | 554 | 690 |
| Rubaare | 130 | 1287 | 775 | 1453 | 757 |
| Rubaare Tc | 46 | 105 | 213 | 247 | 214 |
| Rugarama | 109 | 787 | 892 | 864 | 977 |
| Total | 2,124 | 12,421 | 21,266 | 16,754 | 11,235 |

CHAPTER SIX: APICULTURE FARMING

6.0 Introduction

There are 1,234 (2.2%) households practicing apiculture farming. Rukoni West had the highest proportion of households practicing apiculture at 6% while Rugarama had the lowest proportion at 0.6%.

6.1 Type of Beehives

6.1.1 Local beehive

This was the most common type of beehives in the district with a total of 9,399 local beehives. Rukoni East had the highest number of colonized local beehives at 778 followed by Rukoni West at 701 while Kitwe TC had the lowest number at 11. Nyakyera Sub-county had the highest number of un-colonized local beehives at 337 followed by Nyamunuka Sub-county at 330.

| Sub-county | Colonized | Un-colonized | Proportion colonized |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bwongyera | 80 | 25 | 76.2 |
| Ihunga | 377 | 173 | 68.5 |
| Kibatsi | 302 | 128 | 70.2 |
| Nyabihoko | 265 | 71 | 78.9 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 95 | 29 | 76.6 |
| Kagarama TC | 28 | 26 | 51.9 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 456 | 330 | 58 |
| Central Div | 14 | 71 | 16.5 |
| Eastern Div | 26 | 22 | 54.2 |
| Itojo | 117 | 247 | 32.1 |
| Kitwe TC | 11 | 7 | 61.1 |
| Ntungamo | 126 | 32 | 79.7 |
| Nyakyera | 660 | 337 | 66.2 |
| Ruhaama | 554 | 109 | 83.6 |
| Rukoni East | 778 | 222 | 77.8 |
| Rukoni West | 701 | 324 | 68.4 |
| Rweikiniro | 134 | 48 | 73.6 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 678 | 249 | 73.1 |
| Kayonza | 445 | 185 | 70.6 |
| Ngoma | 145 | 22 | 86.8 |
| Rubaare | 210 | 41 | 83.7 |
| Rubaare TC | 182 | 224 | 44.8 |
| Rugarama | 81 | 12 | 87.1 |

Table 23: Local beehives colonised

6.1.2 Kenya Top Bar Bee hive

Kenya top bar was the second most common beehive in Ntungamo, with Rukoni-East having the highest number (94) of beehives followed by Nyamunuka sub-county at 51. Rukoni East had a total of 10 un-colonized beehives which was the highest.

| Sub-county | Colonized | Un-colonized | Total |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|
| Bwongyera | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| Ihunga | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Kibatsi | 16 | 6 | 22 |
| Nyabihoko | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 43 | 8 | 51 |
| Central Division | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Western Division | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Ntungamo | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Nyakyera | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Ruhaama | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Rukoni East | 84 | 10 | 94 |
| Rukoni West | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama TC | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Rubaare | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 24: Kenya Top Bar Beehives colonized

6.1.3 Langstroth Beehive

Langstroth beehives were found in 5 of the sub counties with Nyamunuka TC having the highest number (20) of beehives. Ntungamo sub-county had the highest number (5) of uncolonized beehives.

| Sub-county | Colonized | Un-colonized | Total |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|
| Ihunga | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Nyamunuka Town Council | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| Ntungamo | 5 | 5 | 10 |

Table 25: Langstroth beehives

| Ruhaama | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|------------|---|---|---|
| Rweikiniro | 9 | 0 | 9 |

CHAPTER SEVEN: ADOPTION OF AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY AND SUPPORT SERVICES

7.1 Adoption of improved technologies

From the farmer registration pilot study, results revealed that on average 15% of the farming households accessed critical farm inputs (fertilizer, seed and pesticides). Of the households that accessed critical farm inputs, most of them were using organic fertilizers (over 31%). At sub-county level, Kayonza had the highest proportion of households with access to critical farm inputs (58.5%).

On average, less than 1% of households used labor saving technologies (tractor and ox-plough). Ngoma sub-county and Rwashamaire TC had the highest proportion with access to tractors (0.6%) while Kibatsi Sub County had the highest proportion with access to ox ploughs (0.9%).

Overall, there was low adoption of artificial insemination in the district at only 0.6%. Western Division had the highest proportion with access to artificial insemination at 3.6% while the rest of the sub-counties had less than 1% of households accessing the service.

Results also showed that there was low adoption of irrigation technology at an average of 3.4%. Western Division had the highest proportion of households with access at 68% while only 1% of households in Kitwe TC and Rukoni, East had access to the technology.



Figure 6: Showing Adoption of improved technologies

| | | Ox | Milk | Irriga | Org | Inorgan | Pesti | Improved | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|-----------|-------|----------|---------|-----|
| Sub-county | Tractor | Plough | Cooler | tion | Fert | Fertilize | cide | Seed | Vaccine | AI |
| Bwongyera | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 22 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 8 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Ihunga | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 41.5 | 16 | 12.2 | 39.9 | 7.4 | 0.7 |
| Kibatsi | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 32.9 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 20.6 | 4.3 | 0.5 |
| Nyabihoko | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 28.6 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 0.7 |
| Rwashamaire | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 53.5 | 7.9 | 16 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 1.3 |
| Kagarama Tc | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1 | 22.6 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Nyamunuka | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 17.2 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 0.5 |
| Central Div | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 58.6 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 52.7 | 29.1 | 1 |
| Eastern Div | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 65 | 5.4 | 6 | 3.9 | 9.1 | 0.8 |
| Western Di | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 68.4 | 84.8 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 28.4 | 11.2 | 3.9 |
| Itojo | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 75.8 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 25.6 | 0.5 |
| Kitwe Tc | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 14.8 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 0.6 |
| Ntungamo | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 34.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 11 | 11.9 | 0.9 |
| Nyakyera | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 31.6 | 4.4 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 0.7 |
| Ruhaama | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Rukoni East | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1 | 14.5 | 1.6 | 11 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| Rukoni West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 55.4 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 17.6 | 5.1 | 0.5 |
| Rweikiniro | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 23.9 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.2 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 14 | 3.8 | 0.1 |
| Kayonza | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 15.1 | 34.9 | 6.4 | 35.6 | 58.5 | 14.7 | 0.9 |
| Ngoma | 0.6 | 0.4 | 19.9 | 4.7 | 35.8 | 4 | 14.4 | 25.4 | 23 | 0.8 |
| Rubaare | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4 | 3.7 | 45.5 | 3 | 11.8 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 0.7 |
| Rubaare Tc | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 21 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Rugarama | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 23.3 | 2.5 | 13 | 20 | 5.7 | 0.4 |
| Total | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 31.2 | 3.9 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 10.2 | 0.6 |

Table 26: Adoption of improved technologies by sub-county

7.2 Access to agricultural support services

13% of the farming households mentioned that they belonged to a farmer group. Nyabihoko sub-county had the highest proportion (34.2%) of farming households belonging to farmer groups followed by Rwashamaire TC at 32.9% and Ngoma sub-county at 32.3% while Kagarama TC had the lowest proportion of 0.75%.

5% of farming households mentioned that they accessed agricultural credit. Rukoni West subcounty had the highest proportion (18%) of the farming households that accessed agricultural credit followed by Ngoma at 13.8% and Ihunga sub-county at 13%. Central Division, Ruhama sub-county and Rubaare TC all had less than 1% of farming households accessing agricultural credit.

26% of farming household mentioned that they accessed agricultural extension services with Western Division having the highest proportion (65%) of farming households accessing extension services and Eastern Division having the lowest of 3%.

| Sub-county | Farmer Group | Agric credit | Extension services |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Bwongyera | 15.3 | 1.1 | 28.6 |
| Ihunga | 26.3 | 13.1 | 43.7 |
| Kibatsi | 13.1 | 3.2 | 30.0 |
| Nyabihoko | 34.3 | 8.9 | 24.9 |
| Rwashamaire Tc | 32.9 | 10.4 | 29.1 |
| Kagarama Tc | 0.8 | 1.1 | 36.0 |
| Nyamunuka Tc | 16.4 | 1.5 | 31.1 |
| Central Division | 20.6 | 0.4 | 65.0 |
| Eastern Division | 3.9 | 1.3 | 3.1 |
| Western Division | 27.9 | 7.5 | 68.8 |
| Itojo | 12.6 | 4.0 | 10.6 |
| Kitwe Tc | 7.7 | 1.2 | 11.6 |
| Ntungamo | 5.8 | 2.9 | 19.5 |
| Nyakyera | 11.4 | 9.3 | 13.3 |
| Ruhaama | 11.2 | 1.0 | 43.3 |
| Rukoni East | 2.7 | 1.6 | 27.1 |
| Rukoni West | 4.8 | 18.1 | 25.2 |
| Rweikiniro | 4.7 | 6.5 | 33.4 |
| Kafunjo-Mirama Tc | 1.1 | 3.7 | 36.8 |
| Kayonza | 25.4 | 9.3 | 20.0 |
| Ngoma | 32.4 | 13.9 | 20.0 |
| Rubaare | 16.8 | 9.7 | 30.3 |
| Rubaare Tc | 3.0 | 0.9 | 5.9 |
| Rugarama | 5.8 | 1.0 | 29.5 |
| Total | 13.7 | 5.7 | 26.4 |

 Table 27: Access to agricultural support services and Farmer Organization

 development

7.3 Access and source of free/subsidized inputs

Results in table 35 revealed that a higher percentage (76.4%) of the households in Ntungamo district reported to not receiving free inputs.

Overall, 21% of households reported to receiving free inputs, Kafunjo Mirama reporting the highest proportion of households who received free inputs at 95.5%. Of the households who received free inputs, 80% received them from NAADS or OWC. 99.7% of households in Kayonza reported to receiving inputs from NAADS while only 33% of households in Eastern Division reported NAADS or OWC as the source of inputs.

The findings also revealed that a high proportion of households in Kitwe TC (72%) reported shops as the source of their inputs. Furthermore, 52% of farmers in Eastern Division received inputs from politicians. Further analysis on whether farmers used the free inputs showed that 97% of households used them fully. Less than 1% of households in the district reported to not having used the inputs.

| | Recei free/ input | | Source of | inputs | | | | | House | hold use | e of |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|---------------|------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------------|----------------|
| Sub county | Yes | No | NAADS/ OWC | Coopera tives | NGOs | Shops/ local | Politi cians | Other | Used | Used some | Did not use |
| Bwongyera | 52.1 | 47.9 | 93.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Ihunga | 53.1 | 46.9 | 85.2 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 11.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 99.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Kibatsi | 22.8 | 77.2 | 98.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Nyabihoko | 23.5 | 76.5 | 72.0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 18.5 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 89.7 | 7.1 | 3.2 |
| Rwashamaire | 31.5 | 68.5 | 93.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 93.6 | 5.2 | 1.2 |
| Kagarama | 49.9 | 50.1 | 99.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Nyamunuka | 8.9 | 91.1 | 89.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Central Div | 74.5 | 25.5 | 29.8 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 65.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Div | 42.7 | 57.3 | 33.7 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 52.9 | 5.4 | 93.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 |
| Western Div | 58.0 | 42.0 | 52.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 12.1 | 23.1 | 11.3 | 97.6 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| Itojo | 11.5 | 88.5 | 97.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 99.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Kitwe TC | 23.6 | 76.4 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 72.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 99.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Ntungamo | 26.7 | 73.3 | 76.7 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 97.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| Nyakyera | 10.7 | 89.3 | 63.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 33.0 | 0.2 | 96.3 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
| Ruhaama | 12.1 | 87.9 | 85.4 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 96.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Rukoni East | 10.8 | 89.2 | 80.2 | 8.2 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 95.1 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| Rukoni West | 21.4 | 78.6 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rweikiniro | 11.5 | 88.5 | 91.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 |

| Table 28: | Access and | Source | of free/s | subsidized | inputs |
|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|
|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|

| Kafunjo- Mira | 4.5 | 95.5 | 98.9 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| Kayonza | 53.3 | 46.8 | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 97.3 | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| Ngoma | 19.1 | 80.9 | 96.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 99.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Rubaare | 33.1 | 66.9 | 98.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Rubaare TC | 19.7 | 80.3 | 46.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 39.2 | 0.0 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Rugarama | 30.5 | 69.5 | 94.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 23.6 | 76.4 | 80.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 13.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 97.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 |

7.4 Reason for non-use of inputs

The major reason cited for non-use of free inputs was lack of rainfall. 69% of the farmers cited this as the main reason for non-use. The second major reason was the poor quality of inputs supplied to the farmers. At sub county level, over 90% of households in Itojo and Nyakyera cited lack of rainfall as the main reason for non-use while 0% of farming households in Kibatsi reported this as the reason for non-use. Over 27% of households in Ihunga, Rwashamaire town council and eastern division reported that the quality of inputs supplied was poor. In Kibatsi Sub County all households reported lack of knowledge on how to use the inputs as the main reason for non-use of the inputs.

| Sub-county | No knowledge | No rainfall | Poor quality | Not interested | Other |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| Bwongyera | 9.5 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 0 |
| Ihunga | 23.1 | 46.2 | 30.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Kibatsi | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nyabihoko | 6.8 | 84.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0 |
| Rwashamaire TC | 0 | 50.0 | 27.3 | 4.6 | 18.2 |
| Kagarama TC | 0 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 |
| Nyamunuka TC | 0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 50.0 |
| Eastern Div | 0 | 38.9 | 27.8 | 5.6 | 27.8 |
| Western Div | 0 | 53.3 | 20.0 | 0 | 26.7 |
| Itojo | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kitwe TC | 18.2 | 54.6 | 18.2 | 0 | 9.1 |
| Ntungamo | 13.8 | 51.7 | 10.3 | 6.9 | 17.2 |
| Nyakyera | 0.0 | 91.3 | 4.4 | 0 | 4.4 |
| Ruhaama | 5.9 | 76.5 | 17.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Rukoni east | 38.7 | 48.4 | 9.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Rweikiniro | 0 | 64.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 28.6 |

Table 29: Reason for non-use of Inputs

| Kayonza | 1.3 | 85.0 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 0 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Ngoma | 0 | 75.0 | 0 | 25.0 | 0 |
| Rubaare | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rubaare town council | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rugarama | 13.3 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 0 |
| Total | 9.1 | 69.1 | 12.1 | 3.3 | 6.4 |

CHAPTER EIGHT: CHALLENGES, RECOMMENDATIONS, CONCLUSION

8.1 Challenges

 a) It was observed that the entire exercise of farmer registration was too much workload in some parishes especially those that had more than 4 villages. Some villages had more than 150 farming households.

b)

c) Slow and incomplete data collection.

Most of the parish chiefs were not able to either complete the exercise in time or complete the registration at all. For some the exercise was not of interest to them while others were too busy. This was partly because the parish chiefs had other activities assigned to them and also the transfers of parish chiefs from one parish to another.

d) Slow and incomplete data entry

Most of the extension staff were not able to complete the data entry. This was also found to be caused by the busy schedules for these officers. Actually, all project activities at the district level target extension workers. Additionally, the officers were not facilitated in time to be able to deliver the assignment in time.

e) The exercise was conducted during planting season and coffee harvesting period, this greatly slowed down the exercise as farmers were only readily available in the afternoon hours.

- f) Some areas in the district are hard to reach, very hilly and farmers stay on the top of the hills. It would take a lot of time for parish chiefs to reach such farmers.
- g) NIN was making the interviews lengthy and tiresome as some farmers never had their IDs readily available.
- h) Some parish chiefs misplaced the books and some books were destroyed by rain.
- i) Lack of adequate equipment for data entry

The pilot was run with data collection being done through paper-based registers which were later on given to extension staff to enter. This was mainly due to the lack enough tablets which could only be given to sub county extension staff. Additionally, even those at the sub county were not enough to cover all staff in the district but had to be shared amongst the extension staff.

j) Mandate crossovers

The mandate for deployment of parish chiefs falls under the purview of ministry of local government. The supervision of the parish chiefs by the production officers was very difficult since they report to the senior administrative secretary who directly reports to the chief administrative officer. The senior administrative secretaries were not involved from the start which made it difficult to supervise the parish chiefs.

8.2 Recommendations

- a) It has been identified that the workload for complete farmer registration is too much for the parish chiefs. The team proposes the use of enumerators to undertake the first complete enumeration of the farmer register. The annual updates thereafter can be done by the parish chiefs
- b) Purchase of more tablets to be used by the enumerators such that data entry is done at the point of data collection. This shall eliminate the duplication of effort and inefficiency that has been observed during the pilot.
- c) Review of guidelines for the extension grant to include data collection activities and facilitation for the parish chiefs to update the registers. The job descriptions for the extension staff already include the role for data collection and therefore just need an institutionalised form on facilitation to support sustainability of the process.
- d) Development of a standard operating procedures (sop) and memoranda of understanding (MOU) with the relevant stakeholders in complement to the extension grant guidelines, the team proposes a development of a sop that shall clearly stipulate

the roles and responsibilities of all authorities that have a role to play under RAADRS. This shall also be enforced with signing of MOUs with these relevant authorities to further strengthen the working relationships

8.3 Planned way forward

- Roll out the crop and livestock tools in the second season of 2020 in the 5 pilot districts. The tools are to be administered by the parish chief with support from extension workers and lc1 chairpersons
- A national stakeholder engagement to discuss implementation arrangements during roll out. The output will be an MOU between ministry of local government and MAAIF.
- Phased data collection to be rolled out starting with 15 districts. This will involve training parish chiefs, extension staff and deployment of parish chiefs and extension staff
- Implement the institutional data module

8.4 Conclusion

The design of RAADRS is a well thought put process that can solve the problem of regular data collection in the agricultural sector. However, the process needs to be incorporated not only the government structures but also in the "culture" of work within the LGs. This calls for a concerted effort from all relevant authorities right from the lowest level of administration up to MAAIF.

Annex

Average number of animals

| Average number of animals | Kalung | Igang | Nebb | Amur | Ntungam |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|------|------|---------|
| | u | a | i | u | 0 |
| Cattle - ankole long horned | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Cattle - short horn zebu | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Cattle exotic/improved - diary | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 11 |
| Cattle exotic/improved - beef | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Goat - exotic/improved | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Goat - indigenous | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Sheep - exotic/improved | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Sheep - indigenous | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Pigs - indigenous/exotic/improved | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Rabbits | 8 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Dogs | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Poultry - indigenous | 9 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 5 |
| Poultry exotic - broilers | 66 | 70 | 7 | 11 | 13 |
| Poultry exotic - layers | 171 | 65 | 11 | 113 | 22 |
| Poultry breeders- broilers | 67 | 33 | 6 | 9 | 14 |
| Poultry breeders - layers | 476 | 27 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Ducks | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| Turkeys | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 |

Average number of animals by sex of household head

| Livestock type | Sex of | Kalungu | Iganga | Nebbi | Amuru | Ntungamo |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| | HH | | | | | |
| | head | | | | | |
| Cattle - ankole long | Male | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| horned | Female | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Cattle - short horn zebu | Male | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Female | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| | Male | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 12 |

| Cattle exotic/improved - | Female | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
|----------------------------|--------|-----|----|----|-----|----|
| diary | | | | | | |
| Cattle exotic/improved - | Male | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| beef | Female | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Goat - exotic/improved | Male | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| | Female | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Goat - indigenous | Male | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| | Female | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Sheep - exotic/improved | Male | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| | Female | 3 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Sheep - indigenous | Male | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| | Female | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Pigs | Male | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| | Female | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Rabbits | Male | 8 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| | Female | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Dogs | Male | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | Female | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Poultry - indigenous | Male | 9 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 5 |
| | Female | 8 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| Poultry exotic - broilers | Male | 92 | 77 | 5 | 10 | 14 |
| | Female | 34 | 64 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Poultry exotic - layers | Male | 224 | 72 | 10 | 367 | 20 |
| | Female | 87 | 55 | 13 | 5 | 27 |
| Poultry breeders- broilers | Male | 82 | 28 | 3 | 14 | 15 |
| | Female | 45 | 41 | 8 | 6 | 12 |
| Poultry breeders - layers | Male | 756 | 25 | 10 | 5 | 12 |
| | Female | 52 | 31 | 21 | 6 | 6 |
| Ducks | Male | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| | Female | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| Turkeys | Male | 4 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| | Female | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 |

| Commodities | Kalungu | Iganga | Nebbi | Amuru | Ntungamo | Total |
|------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|
| Pasture seed | 82 | 81 | 7 | 14 | 97 | 281 |
| Maize seed | 4,972 | 2,882 | 2,796 | 787 | 11,068 | 22,505 |
| Bean | 3,081 | 1,395 | 1,786 | 396 | 6,845 | 13,503 |
| Rice | 22 | 138 | 23 | 161 | 37 | 381 |
| Coffee | 8,850 | 2,917 | 1,424 | 13 | 3,354 | 16,558 |
| Tea | 20 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 26 | 72 |
| Mango | 2,469 | 471 | 355 | 366 | 1,359 | 5,020 |
| Orange | 3,080 | 705 | 240 | 371 | 122 | 4,518 |
| Apple | 16 | 10 | 3 | - | 4 | 33 |
| Cocoa | 1 | 55 | - | 2 | 1 | 59 |
| Passion fruits | 61 | 31 | 3 | 10 | 97 | 202 |
| Pineapples | 4 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 49 | 66 |
| Banana food | 893 | 221 | 120 | 45 | 857 | 2,136 |
| Cassava | 1,091 | 517 | 603 | 296 | 635 | 3,142 |
| Pesticides | 861 | 544 | 206 | 125 | 141 | 1,877 |
| Artificial fertilisers | 559 | 252 | 8 | 55 | 73 | 947 |
| Poultry | 105 | 128 | 99 | 162 | 248 | 742 |
| Veterinary services | 377 | 217 | 62 | 259 | 222 | 1,137 |
| Artificial | 23 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 44 |
| insemination | | | | | | |
| Fish | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 27 |
| Dairy cattle | 90 | 46 | 8 | 13 | 167 | 324 |
| Beef cattle | 11 | 14 | 15 | 41 | 17 | 98 |
| Goats | 101 | 61 | 83 | 165 | 582 | 992 |
| Pigs | 197 | 21 | 15 | 69 | 220 | 522 |
| Total | 26,972 | 10,748 | 7,866 | 3,366 | 26,234 | 75,186 |

Number of farmers who received free/subsidized inputs in the last 12 months